

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Kuhns for the helpful and courteous discussion with Applicants' Representative on November 17, 2003. During this discussion it was noted that Claim 1 in its currently amended form appears to overcome the rejection as being indefinite. In addition, the Examiner noted that amending Claim 7 to claim that the blow molding defines a wall surrounding a main part of a hollow space is likely to raise a new issue.

REMARKS

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Kuhns for indicating allowability of Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10-12 and 16-27 over the prior art of record.


The rejection of Claims 7-9 over Masui et al is obviated by the cancellation of Claim 7.

The rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10-12 and 16-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph, is obviated by the amendment of Claim 1.

This application presents allowable subject matter, and the Examiner is kindly requested to pass it to issue. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the claims or otherwise wish to discuss this case, he is kindly invited to contact Applicants' below-signed representative, who would be happy to provide any assistance deemed necessary in speeding this application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Norman F. Oblon
Attorney of Record
Registration No.: 24,618

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
NFO:KAG:

Kirsten A. Grueneberg, Ph.D.
Registration No.: 47,297